

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

**Judge Berman**

-----X  
WENCILIO ADEVA, individually and  
on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,


-against-

INTERTEK GROUP, PIC and CALEB  
BRETT, INC., jointly and severally

Defendants.  
-----X

**07 CIV 6973**

**COMPLAINT AND  
JURY DEMAND**



**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former employees of the Defendants and who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b), that they are: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendants for worked performed which they received no compensation at all as well as for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by law, (ii) entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 *et seq*; and (iii) declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201.

2. Plaintiff further complains on behalf of himself, and a class of other similarly situated current and former employees of the Defendants, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.23, that they are entitled to back wages from Defendants for work performed for which they received no compensation at all for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay as required by the New York Labor Law §§ 650 *et seq.* and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337, 1343, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

5. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

### **THE PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff, WENCILIO ADEVA was, at all relevant times, an adult individual, residing in Piscataway, New Jersey.

7. (a) Upon information and belief, Defendant INTERTEK GROUP, PIC is a United Kingdom corporation, with a principal place of business at 25 Saville Road, London, UK W1S 2 ES,

(b) Upon information and belief, Defendant CALEB BRETT, INC. is a Texas corporation with a principal place of business at 1000 Port Carteret Drive, Carteret, New Jersey.

(c) Upon information and belief, CALEB BRETT, INC. is a wholly owned subsidiary of INTERTEK GROUP, PIC.

### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

8. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §207, Plaintiff seeks to prosecute his FLSA claims as a collective action on behalf of all persons who are or were formerly employed by Defendants in the United States at any time since August 1, 2004, to the entry of judgment in this case

(the “Collective Action Period”), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA and who were not paid for hours that they worked and received no compensation as well as for overtime compensation at rates no less than one-half times the regular pay rate for all hours worked in excess of forty per workweek (the “Collective Actions Members”).

9. This collective action class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of that number is presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief, there are approximately 3,000 members of the Class during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not be likely to file individual suits because they lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys or knowledge of their claims.

10. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members and has retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the fields of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interest that is contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.

11. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will not difficulty in the management of this action as a collective action.

12. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants

have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the common questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:

- a. whether the Defendants employed the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA;
- b. whether the Defendants failed to keep true and accurate time records for all hours worked by Plaintiff and Collective Action Members;
- c. what proof of hours worked is sufficient where the employer(s) fail in its/their duty to maintain time records;
- d. whether Defendants failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wages and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA in any area where Plaintiffs are employed;
- e. whether Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members for hours which they worked and received no compensation as well as overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek, in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- f. whether Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term used within the context of the FLSA;
- g. whether Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees;
- h. whether Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of the FLSA in the future; and

- i. whether Plaintiff and Collective Action Members are entitled to declaratory relief.

13. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

14. Plaintiff sues on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rules 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

15. Plaintiff brings his New York Labor Law claim on behalf of all persons who were employed by Defendants at any time since August 1, 2001, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Class Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the New York Labor Law and have not been paid for hours actually worked as well as overtime wages as required in violation of the New York Labor Law (the "Class").

16. The persons in the Class identified above are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of that number are presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief, there are approximately 1,000 members of the Class during the Class Period.

17. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class, and a class action is superior to other available methods of fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate defendants.

18. The Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.

19. Plaintiff is committed to pursuing this action and has retained competent counsel experienced in employment law and class action litigation.

20. Plaintiff has the same interests in this matter as all other members of the class and Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class.

21. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions solely affecting the individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:

- a. whether the Defendants employed the members of the Class within the meaning of the New York Labor Law;
- b. whether the Defendants failed to keep true and accurate time records for all hours worked by Plaintiff and members of the Class;
- c. what proof of hours worked is sufficient where employers fail in their duty to maintain time records;
- d. whether Defendants failed and/or refused to pay the members of the Class any compensation for hours actually worked as well as premium pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek within the meaning of the New York Labor Law;
- e. whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to, costs and disbursements and attorney's fees; and

- f. whether the Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of the New York Labor Law in the future.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

22. At all relevant times, Defendants provided, among other things, laboratory testing, laboratory outsourcing, cargo inspection, and certification services for clients on a global basis.

23. Starting in or about October, 1987 until the present, Plaintiff was employed as an inspector by the Defendants.

24. In this capacity, Plaintiff was employed at Defendants' Linden, New Jersey facility but some of his work was performed in New York state as well.

25. Plaintiff's work was performed in the normal course of the Defendants' business and was integrated into the business of the Defendants.

26. The work performed by Plaintiff required little skill and no capital investment. His duties did not include managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent discretion or judgment.

27. Plaintiff often worked in excess of 40 hours a week, yet the Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation of one and one-half times his regular hourly rate, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

28. Plaintiff was employed by the Defendants from in or about October 1987 until the present. Throughout that time and, upon information and belief, both before that time (throughout the Class Period) and continuing until today, the Defendants have likewise employed other individuals, like the Plaintiff (the Collective Action Members/the Class) in

positions that required little skill and no capital investment and their duties and responsibilities did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent discretion and judgment. They do not have the authority to hire or fire other employees, and they are not responsible for making hiring and firing recommendations.

29. Such individuals have worked in excess of 40 hours a week, yet the Defendants have likewise willfully failed to pay them any compensation for all of the hours worked by them as well as overtime compensation of one and one-half times their regular hourly rate, in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law. As stated, the exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but within the sole knowledge of the Defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.

30. Throughout all relevant time periods, upon information and belief, and during the course of Plaintiff's own employment, while Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members/the Class, the Defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records and failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF:**  
**FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

31. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all Collective Action Members, reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 30 as if they were set forth herein.

32. At all relevant times, Defendants have been and continue to be, employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C §§ 206(a) and 207(a).

33. At all relevant times, Defendants employed, and/or continue to employ, Plaintiff and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.



34. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.

35. Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b). Plaintiff's written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

36. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay for all hours worked as well as overtime compensation to its employees for their hours worked in excess of forty hours per week.

37. As a result of the Defendants' willful failure to compensate its employees, including Plaintiff and the Collective Action members, for all hours worked by them as well as for overtime at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a workweek, the Defendants have violated and, continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).

38. As a result of the Defendants' failure to record, report, credit and/or compensate its employees, including Plaintiff and the Collective Action members, the Defendants have failed to make, keep and preserve records with respect to each of its employees sufficient to determine the wages, hours and other conditions and practices of employment in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c) and 215(a).

39. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning 29 U.S.C § 255(a).

40. Due to the Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Collective Action members, is entitled to recover from the Defendants, their unpaid

wages, overtime compensation, an additional amount equal as liquidated damages, additional liquidated damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF:  
NEW YORK LABOR LAW**

41. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the members of the Class, reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 30 as if they were set forth again herein.

42. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the members of the Class were employed by the Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law §§ 2 and 651.

43. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights and the rights of the members of the Class, by failing to pay them proper compensation for all hours worked each workweek, as well as for overtime compensation at rates no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, in violation of the New York Labor Law and its regulation.

44. The Defendants' New York Labor Law violations have caused Plaintiff, and the members of the Class, irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

45. Due to the Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid wages, overtime compensation, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements of the action pursuant to New York Labor Law § 663(1).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated Collective Action Members and members of the Class, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. Certification of this action as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (3) on behalf of the members of the Class and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Class;
- b. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, appraising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Collective Action members;
- c. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- d. An injunction against the Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons in concert with it, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- e. An award of unpaid wages and overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- f. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of the Defendants' willful failure to pay wages and overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216;
- g. An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest;

- h. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- i. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: August 1, 2007

By: 

Jeffrey M. Gottlieb (JG 7905)

Jeffrey M. Gottlieb (JG 7905)  
BERGER & GOTTLIEB  
150 East 18<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite PHR  
New York, New York 10003  
Telephone: (212) 228-9795  
Facsimile: (212) 982-6284

BERGER & ASSOCIATES  
321 Broadway # 3  
New York, New York 10007  
Telephone: (800) 529-4444  
**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**